UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,814	02/27/2004	John G. Babish	068911-0075	5630
	7590 06/08/200 i_Minzi PH.D.,JD	EXAMINER		
Mcdermott Wil	l Emery LLp	KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA		
Miami, FL 3313	e Boulevard, ste.2200 31		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/789,814	BABISH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shobha Kantamneni	1617		

	Shobha Kantamneni	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	201100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belogical of the policy). (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	to in to in appear by materially rec	idonig or onripinying ti	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	kpianation of
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea rand was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but see page 2.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11:

Applicant's arguments that "the claims 1,8,9,13,14,18,21-27,152 in application No. 10/464,410 do not render obvious the claims in the instant application" are persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,8,9,13,14,18,21-27,152 of copending Application No. 10/464,410 made in the final office action dated 11/05/2008 is herein withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments that "the claims 1, 35-36, 39-40 in application No. 10/464,834 do not render obvious the claims in the instant application" are persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 35-36, 39-40 of copending Application No. 10/464,834 made in the final office action dated 11/05/2008 is herein withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments that "the claims 91-97,99-102,105-109 in application No. 11/344,555, the claims 110-134 of application No. 11/344,556, the claims 51,116-131 of application No. 11/344,557, the claims 35-46 of application No. 11/403,034, the claims 1-12 of 10/789817" do not render obvious the claims in the instant application" are persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 11/344,555, 11/344,556, 11/344,557, 11/403,034, 10/789817 made in the final office action dated 11/05/2008 is herein withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Applications 11/344552, 11/344554, made in the final office action dated 11/05/2008 are MAINTAINED. Note the terminal disclaimers provide by the applicant are disapproved by the office because attorney is not of record/POA required.